

MINUTES
MIFFLIN COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION REGULAR MEETING
THURSDAY, JULY 22, 2010
MIFFLIN COUNTY COURTHOUSE, MEETING ROOM B – 3:30 P.M.

ATTENDANCE

Members

Jim Spendiff, Chairman
Dan Dunmire, Vice Chairman
John Pannizzo, Secretary
Brent Miller
Neal Shawver
Kent Spicher
Susan Heimbach

Other

Rob Postal, MCIDC
Bill Wright, Wright Land Surveying

Staff

Bill Gomes, Director
Mark Colussy, Associate Planner
Millie Sunderland, Office Manager/Grants Manager

Call to Order

Jim Spendiff, Chairman, called the meeting to order at 3:30 p.m.

Record of Public Attendance

Jim reminded everyone to sign the attendance sheet.

Approval of Meeting Minutes: May 27, 2010 and June 24, 2010

Jim asked for a revision to the June 24 meeting minutes on page 2 to state, “Karen said the developer will have to come up with the design, which would be their required 20% contribution to the project.” Dan made a motion to approve the meeting minutes of May 27, 2010 and June 24, 2010 with the change to the June minutes. Brent Miller seconded the motion. All members voted aye.

Economic Development Update

Rob Postal of the Mifflin County Industrial Development Corporation (MCIDC) attended the meeting to give an update on Economic Development projects. Rob reported that the Derry Heights land development project is approximately 30 % complete. Rob said there will be a signal light installed at Calkins. He said the contractor has returned to the site, and development is moving forward, and they are planning for the hotel to begin construction by September.

Rob discussed the project on the Mann Edge property in Lewistown Borough. Currently, there is an environmental issue being addressed. The Pennsylvania Housing Finance Agency funding is delayed to next funding cycle, and they will need to resubmit the application for \$5.5 million. Rob said they are anticipating groundbreaking in August or September 2011. A land development plan is scheduled to be submitted before December 2010. MCIDC will purchase the site with SEDA-COG buying part of the site to construct the housing complex starting next summer. Utilities are onsite, and current work is progressing on Waters Street.

Rob also discussed the MCIDC Plaza Improvement project. The project will involve renovations to certain buildings in the Plaza. The other project for improvements in the Plaza involves the Mifflin County Revolving Loan Fund Program. Rob said this project will involve improving specific buildings in the Plaza occupied by Lewistown Manufacturing and Kardex, which are in need of roof repairs. Rob discussed the funding for the \$170,000 project, which will be \$49,000 from the Revolving Loan Fund, \$119,000 from SEDA-COG, and \$2,000 from MCIDC. This is only part of an improvements project for the Plaza.

Rob reported on other projects involving First Quality, United Chemical Company and interest in the Case New Holland facility.

Subdivision and Land Development Review Committee Report

Dan Dunmire reported that the Subdivision and Land Development Review Committee reviewed six plans. All six plans were under municipal ordinances for which the Planning Commission provides recommendations only. The Subdivision Review Committee recommended approval of the comments and recommendations for the following plans: Dale E. Vogt, Noyd M. & Susan L. Smith and David T. & Kimberly Oburn plans in Derry Township, Cosmo E. & Barbara Mannino plan in Lewistown Borough, Elmer Lee Peachey, Sr. plan in Menno Township and Percy S. & Catherine Yoder plan in Union Township.

Bill Wright attended the meeting to discuss some issues relevant to the Gerald Grassmyer subdivision plan from last month. Mark Colussy reported he had conducted a site visit of the property and said the cartway width at the entrance off SR 103 is very narrow, and he felt the width needs to be expanded up to 18 feet. There is a waiver request from the 18 foot for the stretch that is 12 ½ feet due to the location of the existing tree and utility pole. Bill Wright said he measured the width in this area, and it is about 14 feet wide. He said the rest of the driveway can be expanded to 18 feet. After some discussion, Bill Wright will present a specific waiver request at the meeting next month.

Mark mentioned that the narrative for the Cosmo and Barbara Mannino plan is unclear as to whether they are subdividing the existing building. Mark said there would be a zoning issue for parking purposes.

Mark reported that the Percy and Catherine Yoder plan was denied by Union Township because access to the property did not meet the requirements, and there is no formal public easement for access to the property. There are also development issues due to steep slopes.

Dan briefly discussed the remaining plans and made a motion to approve the comments and recommendations as presented and discussed. Neal Shawver seconded the motion. All members voted aye.

Mark said the McVeytown United Methodist Church previously submitted a plan that was conditionally approved based on dedication of a new alley. Proof of that dedication is required prior to recording of the plan. The Borough has a meeting scheduled for August 10, 2010 to adopt the ordinance. The previous extension expires today, July 22, 2010. Therefore, the church has requested another extension to allow for the alley dedication prior to recording the plan. John Pannizzo made a motion to approve a 30-day extension. Neal Shawver seconded the motion, and all members voted aye.

The Subdivision Review Committee Report:

Name of Plan: Vogt, Dale E.

Tax Map #: 16-05-0114

Applicant Name: Vogt, Dale

Plan Preparer: Tuscarora Land Surveying

Action Taken: The County provides comments only.

Review Comments (List from Review Committee):

Clean & Green / Agriculture - The parcel is enrolled in the Clean and Green program. The applicant or land-owners should be aware rollback taxes can be applied in some subdivision situations, and if they have any questions, they should contact the Mifflin County Assessment Office for more information.

Floodplain / Wetlands - Plan Note 1 appears to be incorrect. According to County GIS information, the property lies within the 100-year floodplain, and the flood plain should be delineated on the plan. Future development in this area should be discouraged.

Soils - According to the County GIS files, some portion of this property appears to have prime farmland soils. (EdB, Hhc, MeB)

Right-of Way Widths - Based upon the Derry Township Subdivision and Land Development Ordinance, the right-of-way width of Ort Valley Road is substandard (Section 504.2).

Cartway Widths - Based upon the Derry Township Subdivision and Land Development Ordinance, the cartway width of Ort Valley Road is substandard (Section 504.2).

Deed Restrictions and Easements - Deed restrictions and easements associated with the property, if any, should be provided in accordance with Section 403.2.G of the Derry Township Subdivision and Land Development Ordinance. *According to the surveyor, there are none known.

DEP Sewage Planning Module - A Non-Building declaration should be on the plan for the residual lot. A copy of the DEP "Request for Planning Waiver and Non-Building Declaration" form should be provided. Plan approval should be contingent upon receiving an approval from DEP following the filing of this form.

Water & Sewage Service - Existing Water and Sewage Service information should be shown on the plan for the grantee property of the Lot Addition, T.M. 16-5-114A. (See sections 403.2.M and X of the Derry Township Subdivision and Land Development Ordinance)

Lot Addition - The acreage for the Gary Esterline property, T.M. 16-5-114A, should be shown on the plan as well as the acreage combined with the proposed lot addition. (See section 402.2.N of the Derry Township Subdivision and Land Development Ordinance)

Name of Plan: Smith, Noyd M. & Susan L. File Number: 2010-07-004
Tax Map #: 16-13-127 Municipality: Derry Township (Municipal Ordinance)
Applicant Name: Smith, Noyd M. & Susan L. Land Owner Name: Smith, Noyd M. & Susan L.
Plan Preparer: Taptich Engineering and Surveying

Action Taken: The County provides comments only.

Plan Summary: This project involves the subdivision of one (1) lot from the lands of Noyd and Susan Smith. Lot #1 is presently used as an athletic field and is intended to be a stand-alone lot. Access to Lot #1 will be via the existing driveways developed from adjacent public roadways. There are no improvements proposed. The Residue is presently developed with a single family dwelling and associated outbuildings. These facilities are served with public sewer and water service.

Review Comments (List from Review Committee):

Floodplain / Wetlands - General Note 5 on the plan, as well as according to County GIS information, the property lies within the 100-year floodplain. Future development in this area should be discouraged.

Topographic information - Topographical contours at vertical intervals should be displayed on the plan (Derry Township Subdivision and Land Development Ordinance, Section 402.2.G).

Soils - According to the County GIS files, some portion of this property appears to have hydric soils. Hydric soils can indicate the presence of wetlands. The hydric soils information should be shown on the plan. (At, BrB) Soils information should be shown on the plan.

Right-of Way Widths - Based upon the Derry Township Subdivision and Land Development Ordinance, the right-of-way width of Crossover Drive substandard (Section 504.2).

Cartway Widths - Based upon the Subdivision and Land Development Ordinance, the cartway width of Crossover Drive is substandard (Section 504.2).

Deed Restrictions and Easements - Deed restrictions and easements associated with the property, if any, should be provided in accordance with Section 402.2.H of the Derry Township Subdivision and Land Development Ordinance.

Signature Blocks on Plan - The signature blocks should be changed from "Union" Township to "Derry" Township.

Features - The project narrative states the residual property is served by public water and sewer. All significant man-made features, including water and sewer lines, should be shown on the plan. (Derry Township Subdivision and Land Development Ordinance, Section 402.2.J)

Other Comments:

1. Plan has a non-building declaration but it is not specific that it is linked to Lot 1.
2. Derry Township is listed on the Smith Property as the property owner and does not point to the actual Derry Township property. An arrow pointing to the property information should be added to the plan so it is not confused with the Smith Property.
3. A symbology legend should be added to the plan to help distinguish features.

Name of Plan: Oburn, David T. & Kimberly A. File Number: 2010-07-005
Tax Map #: 16-26-319/320 Municipality: Derry Township (Municipal Ordinance)
Applicant Name: Oburn, David T. & Kimberly A. Land Owner Name: Hoar, Beth
Plan Preparer: Taptich Engineering and Surveying

Action Taken: The County provides comments only.

Plan Summary: This project involves the subdivision of one (1) lot from the lands of David and Kimberly Oburn. Lot #1 is presently vacant and will become an integral part of the existing adjacent lands of Keith and Beth Hoar (TM 16-26-320). Access to the lands of Hoar will be unchanged. There are no improvements proposed. The Residue is mostly vacant with the exception of a small well house. Access to the Residue will be via the adjacent public road (Fryers Hill Road).

Review Comments (List from Review Committee):

Floodplain / Wetlands - According to the notes on the plan as well as County GIS information, the property lies within the 100-year floodplain. Future development in this area should be discouraged.

Topographic information - Topographical contours at vertical intervals should be displayed on the plan (Derry Township Subdivision and Land Development Ordinance, Section 402.2.G).

Right-of Way Widths - Based upon the Derry Township Subdivision and Land Development Ordinance, the right-of-way width of Freyers Hill Road is substandard (Section 504.2).

Cartway Widths - Based upon the Derry Township Subdivision and Land Development Ordinance, the cartway width of Freyers Hill Road is substandard (Section 504.2).

Deed Restrictions and Easements - Deed restrictions and easements associated with the property, if any, should be provided in accordance with Section 403.2.L of the Derry Township Subdivision and Land Development Ordinance.

DEP Sewage Planning Module - A copy of the DEP "Request for Planning Waiver and Non-Building Declaration" form should be provided. Plan approval should be contingent upon receiving an approval from DEP following the filing of this form.

Water and Sewage Service - Existing water and sewage service information should be shown on the plan for the residue and the Keith A Hoar property, T.M. 16-26-320. (See sections 402.2.M and X of the Derry Township Subdivision and Land Development Ordinance)

Signature Blocks on Plan - The signature blocks should be changed from "Union" Township to "Derry" Township.

Features - According to aerial photography, there are additional structures and a driveway on the residual property. Please confirm. All significant man-made features, including buildings and driveways, should be shown on the plan. (Derry Township Subdivision and Land Development Ordinance, Section 402.2.J)

Zoning - According to County GIS information, there is a Zoning district change going between the two properties. Please confirm. If this is the case, it should be shown on the plan.

Other Comments:

1. The lot combination equations signifying the acreage before and after the subdivision are very effective and are a great example.

2. A symbology legend should be added to the plan to help distinguish features.

Name of Plan: Mannino, Cosmo E. & Barbara L. File Number: 2010-07-006
Tax Map #: 05-08-124 Municipality: Lewistown Borough (Municipal Ordinance)
Applicant & Land Owner Name: Mannino, Cosmo E. & Barbara L.
Plan Preparer: Taptich Engineering and Surveying

Action Taken: The County provides comments only.

Plan Summary: This project involves the further subdivision of one (1), (Lot #1A) lot from the lands of Cosmo E. and Barbara L. Mannino (TM 05-08-124, Lot #1A). Current Lot 1A as a further subdivision of Lot #1 on the plan of subdivision prepared by Roth Surveying Services dated July 19, 1999. Current Lot 1A is intended to be a non-building lot addition to TM 05-08-122.

Review Comments (List from Review Committee):

Basic Plan Information - Lot numbers, and any official Parcel Information Number(s) (PIN) established by the County, and Deed Book and Page Number of the tract should be provided on the plan for Previous Lot 2 and T.M. 5-8-126. (Borough of Lewistown Subdivision and Land Development Ordinance, Section 7.302.A.13)

Floodplain / Wetlands - The plan should note whether or not the site lies within a 100 year floodplain or designated wetland. (See Sections 7.302.A.26 and 28 of the Lewistown Borough Subdivision and Land Development Ordinance)

Topographic information - Topographical contours at vertical intervals should be displayed on the plan (Lewistown Borough Subdivision and Land Development Ordinance, Section 7.302.A.26).

Setback Lines - The setback lines should be shown on the plan as prescribed in the Lewistown Borough Subdivision and Land Development Ordinance (Section 7.302.A.10).

PennDOT HOP / Municipal Driveway Permit - Is an existing PennDOT Highway Occupancy Permit (HOP) in place? If so, the HOP permit number should be provided. A notation about the requirement stating: Any access via a State Highway to lots shown on this subdivision plan will require the issuance of a PennDOT Highway Occupancy Permit (HOP). PennDOT regulations provide that a Highway Occupancy Permit is required prior to constructing, altering or exceeding the permitted capacity for any access connected onto a State Highway. A Highway Occupancy Permit is also required prior to altering the existing pattern or flow of surface drainage or directing additional surface drainage onto or into the highway right-of-way or highway facilities. Approval of this plan neither implies nor guarantees permit approval by PennDOT.

Deed Restrictions and Easements - Deed restrictions and easements associated with the property, if any, should be provided in accordance with Sections 7.302.A.6 and 7.302.B.7 of the Lewistown Borough Subdivision and Land Development Ordinance.

Signature Blocks on Plan - The signature block for the Lewistown Borough "Supervisors" should be changed to Lewistown Borough "Council".

Lot Addition - A lot addition plan should include an inset map. An inset map is a general location map of sufficient size and detail for the Commission to readily determine geographically where the subdivision, or lot addition, is proposed. (See Lewistown Borough Subdivision and Land Development Ordinance, Section 7.302.A.27) A lot addition statement should be noted on the plan stating the following on the plan:

"Lot # ___ consisting of ___ acres is to be added onto land owned by _____. Lot # ___ is a lot addition and shall become an integral part of the property owned by _____. Lot # ___ is not a building lot and

cannot be maintained or developed as a separate individual lot.” (See Lewistown Borough Subdivision Ordinance Section 7.302.A.25) *General Note 4 on the plan differs from this language.

Features - All significant man-made features, including water and sewer lines, the building on Lot 2, the cartway of the roadway, the parking lot, petroleum lines, electric poles, telephone lines, fire hydrants, culverts, etc. should be shown on the plan. (Lewistown Borough Subdivision and Land Development Ordinance, Section 7.302.A.23)

Zoning - According to County GIS information, there is a Zoning District Boundary traversing the property. Please confirm. If so, this should be shown on the plan. Also, Zoning information should be indicated on the plan, including setbacks, district, impervious coverage, lot width requirements, parking space requirements, etc.

Other Comments:

1. The residue acreage, the Previous Lot 2 acreage, as well as the combined acreage of Previous Lot 2 and Current Lot 1A should be shown on the plan. (See section 7.302.A.5 of the Lewistown Borough Subdivision and Land Development Ordinance)
2. The plan narrative is not clear. This proposal involves not only the subdivision of a lot, but a subdivision of a building. Is the building actually two different buildings with a shared party wall? This should be clarified. Also, it should state that current Lot 1A is being added to Lot 2.
3. The parcel is the former location of a Laundromat and the plan should note there is a parking lot associated with it. By cutting the building in half, will the laundry mat still be able to meet the parking requirements? What will the use of the other half of the building be? The land use should be indicated to confirm if the parking requirements will be met. This subdivision has the potential to form non-conforming lots and providing the Zoning information would confirm if it does meet or doesn't meet the requirements of the Zoning Ordinance.
4. A symbology legend should be added to the plan to help distinguish features.

Name of Plan: Peachey, Elmer Lee, Sr. File Number: 2010-07-003
Tax Map #: 18-09-101 Municipality: Menno Township (Municipal Ordinance)
Applicant Name: Peachey, Elmer Lee, Sr. Land Owner Name: Peachey, Elmer Lee, Sr.
Plan Preparer: Wright Land Surveying

Action Taken: The County provides comments only.

Plan Summary: This plan proposes to create Lot 2 for agricultural purposes only. Also, Lot addition A is to be combined with the lands of Sheldon J. Graybill. The residual tract, Lot 1, is agricultural with the existing farmhouse and buildings. No new development is proposed. Lot Addition A is a lot addition and shall become an integral part of the property owned by Sheldon J. Graybill. Lot Addition A is not a building lot and cannot be maintained or developed as a separate individual lot.

Review Comments (List from Review Committee):

Basic Plan Information - Not all abutters are shown on the plan. All abutters should be shown on the plan, including tax map numbers. An abutters table to accompany the property plan could be considered. (Menno Township Subdivision and Land Development Ordinance, Section 6.302.a.16)

Subdivision Information - Property boundary information should be shown for the entire property, including the residual property. If survey data is not available, this information could be supplied via the deed description and could be shown on an inset map. (Menno Township Subdivision and Land Development Ordinance Sections 6.302.a.5, 7, 9, and 12)

Clean & Green / Agriculture - The property is in an Agricultural Security Area and should be noted on the plan. As mentioned in Note 5 on the plan, the parcel is enrolled in the Clean and Green program. The applicant or landowners should be aware rollback taxes can be applied in some subdivision situations, and if they have any questions, they should contact the Mifflin County Assessment Office for more information.

Soils - According to the County GIS files, some portion of this property appears to have prime farmland soils. (HaB, HcB, No) According to the County GIS files, some portion of the residual property appears to have hydric soils. Hydric soils can indicate the presence of wetlands. The hydric soils information should be shown on the plan. (Ma, No) Soils information should be shown on the plan. (Menno Township Subdivision Ordinance, Section 6.202.a.8)

Right-of-Way Widths - Based upon the Menno Township Subdivision and Land Development Ordinance, the right-of-way width of T-342, Knepp Road, is substandard (Table 1).

Cartway Widths - Based upon the Menno Township Subdivision and Land Development Ordinance, the cartway width of T-342, Knepp Road, is substandard (Table 1).

Deed Restrictions and Easements - Deed restrictions and easements associated with the property, if any, should be provided in accordance with Sections 6.302.a.6 and 6.302.b.7 of the Menno Township Subdivision and Land Development Ordinance.

DEP Sewage Planning Module - A copy of the DEP "Request for Planning Waiver and Non-Building Declaration" form needs to be provided. Plan approval should be contingent upon receiving an approval from DEP following the filing of this form.

Water and Sewage Service - Existing water and sewage service information should be shown on the plan for the residual property as well as the well for Lot A. (See section 6.302.a.11 of the Menno Township Subdivision and Land Development Ordinance)

Lot Addition - The combined acreage of Lot A and Lot Addition A should be shown on the plan. (See section 6.202.a.5 of the Menno Township Subdivision and Land Development Ordinance)

Name of Plan: Yoder, Percy S. & Catherine M. **File Number: 2010-07-001**
Tax Map #: 20-01-156A **Municipality: Union Township (Municipal Ordinance)**
Applicant Name: Yoder, Percy S. **Land Owner Name: Yoder, Percy S.**

Plan Preparer: Colony, Charles Maynard, PLS, PE

Action Taken: The County provides comments only.

Plan Summary: Parcel A, Parcel B, and Parcel C combined contains 7.5157 Acres. Source of title is deed book 163, page 764. Current owners are Percy S. Yoder and Catherine M. Yoder. The parent tract has not been subdivided since 1962. The tract is identified as tax parcel 20-01-156A. Parcel A has an existing SFRD used for recreational purposes and an existing well and privy. Two sites on Parcel A have been identified on Parcel A which are suitable for the installation of an on-lot sewage disposal system. Parcel B and Parcel C are proposed as sites for SFRDs used for recreational purposes and served with on-lot sewage disposal and on-lot wells. Two on-lot sewage disposal sites have been identified on Parcel B. Four on-lot sewage disposal sites have identified on Parcel C. The parent tract is accessed by existing woods roads leading to SR 0305 (Greenwood Road).

Final Review Comments:

Start Notes - The original plan, dated March 5th, 2010 was reviewed on March 25th, 2010 by the Mifflin County Planning Commission. On April 5, 2010, the Union Township Supervisors denied the application. The current submission, which was on July 6, 2010, proposes a new lot configuration that eliminates proposed lot D.

Basic Plan Information - Property owner and/or applicant information should be provided on the plan. Topographic information Suitability considerations should be made for this plan. It appears, according to County GIS information, that there are steep slopes (grades over 25%) on this site and development in these should be discouraged. Topographical contours at vertical intervals should be displayed on the plan (Union Township Subdivision and Land Development Ordinance, Section 402.1.g).

Right-of Way Widths - It does not appear that a clearly defined right of way exists for private roads extending to the property. A Right-of-Way should be acquired along Knarr Lane and other private drives leading to the public roadway, Greenwood Road prior to any additional development. The proposed private right-of-way width (33') accessing Lot C does not meet the township road standards which requires a minimum of at least 36'. (See section 501.2 of the Union Township Subdivision and Land Development Ordinance). Additional right-of-way width should be provided to allow adequate access to the proposed properties.

Cartway Widths - The cartway width should be shown on the plan for all private drives shown on the plan (See Union Township Subdivision and Land Development Ordinance, Section 402.2.a).

PennDOT HOP / Municipal Driveway Permit - Is there an existing PennDOT Highway Occupancy Permit (HOP) in place for the private drive off of S.R. 305, Greenwood Road? If not, a HOP may be required as prescribed in the Municipalities Planning Code (Section 508 (6)). A copy of the permit should be provided to the Union Township Planning Commission.

Deed Restrictions and Easements - Deed restrictions and easements associated with the property, if any, should be provided in accordance with Section 402.2 of the Union Township Subdivision and Land Development Ordinance.

DEP Sewage Planning Module - A copy of the DEP Component 1 Sewage Facilities Planning Module has been submitted to the Mifflin County Planning Commission. Plan approval should be contingent upon receiving an approval from DEP following the filing of this form.

Water Service - The plan should indicate proposed well site locations, or at a minimum the well isolation distance from the proposed septic system for the proposed parcels C and D. (See section 402.2.c of the Union Township Subdivision and Land Development Ordinance)

Signature Blocks on Plan - The Mifflin County Review Certificate should be on the plan. If a copy of the certificate is required, one can be obtained from the Mifflin County Planning and Development Department.

Other Comments:

1. The connection to the public road system (Greenwood Road) should be shown on the plan to show how the property is accessed. See section 504.3 of the Union Township Subdivision and Land Development Ordinance.

2. This lot configuration as shown should be reconsidered. The existing 7.5151 acre parcel is being proposed to include a residue tract of one acre, a proposed one acre lot and one tract of 5.5131 acres with a lot ratio (depth to width) greater than three to one. Also, other than the residue, none of the proposed lots have direct access to a public or private road system. The proposed private right of way is only 33 feet in width extending from Knarr Lane to serve lots B and C. The proposed rights of way do not meet the road standards of Union Township. At best the original parcel could be subdivided into two tracts considering the steep topography that impacts proposed lots B and C.

3. The date on the plan still says March 5, 2010. Considering this is a revised plan, the new plan date should be on the plan.

Policy Analysis of Act 46

Bill said Act 46 will have an impact on subdivision plans, Hop's, and zoning. After a brief discussion, it was suggested that the Planning Commission should make a recommendation on the fee schedule to the commissioners. There will be more discussion at the meeting next month.

Public Comment

There was no public comment.

Other Business or Comments

- Bill reported that he and Dan met with Burnham Borough and the Commissioners to discuss concerns with the letter of support for the H₂O grant for the Borough. They felt the letter was not appropriate and were not going to use it. Another concern discussed was stormwater management for the Sheetz project.
- Bill mentioned the Monument Square issue of a business owner requesting removal of the tables and benches. There was some discussion on other solutions to the problem. Hopes are that Lewistown Borough's new police force will control this matter. A potential application for Pennsylvania Community Transportation Initiative (PCTI) funding is also being reviewed for completing another phase of the Monument Square Streetscape Project along Market Street. Bill will meet with Lewistown Borough Council on August 9, 2010 regarding their support of this application.
- Bill reported his outreach efforts to develop a partnership to move forward on applying for funding to update the Mifflin County Comprehensive Plan, as well as transportation related matters. Bill said a pre-application would be completed for federal funding, and a decision would then be made if the application process is feasible.
- Bill also discussed Land Use Planning and Technical Assistance Program (LUPTAP) funding to be pursued for the Mifflin County Comprehensive Plan update, which is required to begin this year. However, there is a limited amount of funds available in the LUPTAP through DCED.

The meeting was adjourned at 5:25 p.m. upon a motion by Neal Shawver that was seconded by John Pannizzo.

dpb